

PRCC.10 16/17

Prosperous Communities Committee

7 June 2016

Subject: Recommendation from the Challenge and Improvement Committee - To present a revised format for Progress & Delivery Reporting for 2016/17

Report by:	Director of Resources
Contact Officer:	lan Knowles
	Director of Resources
	01427 676500
	lan.knowles@west-lindse.gov.uk
Purpose/Summary:	To approve the revised format of the Councils Progress &
	Delivery report for 2016/17

RECOMMENDATION(S):

1. That the revised reporting format for 16/17 as attached be adopted

IMPLICATIONS

Legal: None

Financial: FIN/17/17

Staffing: None

Equality and Diversity including Human Rights: None

Risk Assessment: None

Climate Related Risks and Opportunities: None

Title and Location of any Background Papers used in the preparation of this report:

Wherever possible please provide a hyperlink to the background paper/s

If a document is confidential and not for public viewing it should not be listed.

Call in and Urgency:

Is the decision one which Rule 14.7 of the Scrutiny Procedure Rules apply?

i.e. is the report exempt from being **Yes** called in due to urgency (in consultation with C&I chairman)

No	x

Key Decision:

A matter which affects two or more **Yes** wards, or has significant financial implications

No

Х

Executive Summary

- 1.1 At a meeting of the Challenge & Improvement Committee on 22nd December, it was agreed that a working group be established to review the Progress & Delivery reporting process and report back with its recommendations.
- 1.2 The Members of the group were:
 - Cllr Bond (Chair)
 - Cllr Darcel
 - Cllr Curtis
 - Cllr England
- 1.3 The purpose of the working group was set out as to:
 - a) Review the measures reported in 2015/16 and determine their on-going appropriateness
 - b) Consider how these measures provide a performance framework for the organisation that allows Members to monitor progress against the Corporate Plan, service delivery and project development
 - c) Consider the reporting format for future reports

2 Progress to Date

- 2.1 The working group, supported by officers, has met on three separate occasions.
- 2.2 Members have reviewed the current reporting format and content, scrutinised the Council's current Corporate Scorecard, had sight of a proposed set of measures to oversee delivery against the new Corporate Plan priorities and were introduced to the concept of a measure definition sheet to be used internally so as to ensure consistency of reporting.
- 2.3 Members have expressed overall satisfaction with the Progress and Delivery format and content, however suggested the following improvements:
 - Direction of Travel indicators to be used in reporting
 - Consistent usage of explanations and rectification actions where appropriate
- 2.4 The format of the P&D report has been modified to include these recommendations and ensure that a culture of performance management is applied throughout the Council. The revised report has the following five distinct sections.
 - **Executive Summary:** Provides the reader with an overview of the reports context
 - **Programmes and Projects:** Identification of Council programmes and projects that are not progressing as anticipated and identification of actions needed to improve.
 - **Corporate Health measures:** Key performance measures which gives the reader a high-level view of overall Council. Full performance is to be reported (red, amber and green) within this section.

- **Corporate Plan measures:** Performance against performance measures aligned to the themes and priorities of the Corporate Plan. Full performance is to be reported (red, amber and green).Please note that these measures will be included in the P&D report on a six-monthly basis
- Service measures: Performance of services areas on an exception basis; red or green measures
- 2.5 This revised report format is contained within this report for Members' consideration.
- 2.6 To provide a greater understanding of the report; 2015/16 Period Four data has been populated in the new report format. (Please note that due to the recent adoption of the Corporate Plan, these associated measure are currently being scoped and are not included within this report.
- 2.7 The proposed revised format was discussed at Challenge and Improve Committee (C&I) on 23rd May 2016.
- 2.8 The arising minute is set out below:

10 PROGRESS AND DELIVERY WORKING GROUP RECOMMENDATIONS – TO PRESENT A REVISED FORMAT FOR PROGRESS AND DELIVERY REPORTING FOR 2016/17 (CAI.04 16/17)

Members gave consideration to a report which presented recommendations from the Progress and Delivery Working Group with regard to a revised format for the Council's Progress and Delivery report for 2016/17, for recommendation on to the Policy Committee's for formal adoption.

By way of context and background it was noted that the working group had been established in December 2015 to: -

- a) Review the measures reported in 2015/16 and determine their on-going appropriateness
- b) Consider how these measures provide a performance framework for the organisation that allows Members to monitor progress against the Corporate Plan, service delivery and project development
- c) Consider the reporting format for future reports

The working group had met on three occasions and its Members had reviewed the current reporting format and content, scrutinised the Council's current Corporate Scorecard, had sight of a proposed set of measures to oversee delivery against the new Corporate Plan priorities and were introduced to the concept of a measure definition sheet to be used internally so as to ensure consistency of reporting.

As a result the Working Group had expressed overall satisfaction with the Progress and Delivery format and content, however they had suggested some improvements, namely: -

- Direction of Travel indicators to be used in reporting
- Consistent usage of explanations and rectification actions where appropriate
- Corporate Measures that would give members a sense of how the overall organisation is performing

As a result, the format of the P and D report had been modified to include the working groups suggestions and the revised report format now had the following six distinct sections:-

- **Executive Summary:** Provides the reader with an overview of the reports context
- **Corporate Health measures:** Key performance measures which give the reader a high-level view of the Council overall. Full performance is to be reported (red, amber and green) within this section.
- **Corporate Plan measures:** Reporting against performance measures aligned to the themes and priorities of the Corporate Plan. Full performance is to be reported (red, amber and green). Please note that these measures will be included in the P&D report on a six-monthly basis
- **Commercial Plan measures:** Reporting against the measures contained within the Commercial Plan. Please note that these measures will be included in the P&D report on a six-monthly basis.
- **Programmes and Projects**: Identification of Council programmes and projects that are not progressing as anticipated and identification of actions needed to improve.
- Service measures: Performance of services areas on an exception basis; red or green measures

Debate ensued and in opening Members commented on what they considered a much improved format. Some Members were of the view that where penalties were likely for not achieving targets, then such potential penalties should be highlighted, the example cited being Carbon Management.

In responding to Members comments regarding the frequency of some reporting measures, the Director of Resources advised that it was only intended to report on the commercial measures every six months as it was likely there would be little progress to report on if the frequency was more often due to the nature of the measures. It was considered that any changes to any other measure's frequency should be made in agreement with the relevant service area and policy committee.

Note: Councillor Kinch arrived at 6.45

Members were of the view that if there was a service area of particular concern or public interest at a time, examples cited being land charges and planning, then the relevant policy committee should consider increasing the reporting frequency during periods of concern.

The Vice Chairman indicated that the inclusion of a "heat map" would be of assistance. The Director of Resources indicated his agreement to this and undertook to include an additional summary table as part of the executive summary, setting out the total number of red green and amber measures.

RESOLVED that the revised reporting format of the progress and Delivery report 2016/17 be agreed and **RECOMMENDED** to the Prosperous Communities Committee and the Corporate Policy and Resources Committee for formal adoption, subject to the inclusion additional summary table as part of the executive summary, setting out the total number of red green and amber measures.

2.9 Members are asked to adopt the revised reporting format for 16/17 as attached.

* the requested additional summary table, as part of the executive summary, setting out the total number of red green and amber measures, suggested by the Challenge and improvement Committee is now incorporated.

2015/16

Progress and Delivery

PERIOD FOUR WEST LINDSEY DISTRICT COUNCIL

Table of Contents

Introduction Executive Summary	
Corporate Plan Theme:	
16	
Corporate Plan Theme:	People First
. 18	
Corporate Plan Theme:	Asset Management
20	
Corporate Plan Theme:	Central Lincolnshire Local Plan
21	
Corporate Plan Theme:	Partnerships/Devolution
22	
Corporate Plan Theme:	Excellent Value for Money Services
23	
Section 3: Commercial Plan measures	
Section 4: Project and Programme Delivery	
Section 5: Service Exceptions	
Customer Satisfaction	
Benefits	
Council Tax	
Building Control	
Local Land Charges	
Development Management	
Enforcement	
Environmental Protection	
Food Safety	
Street Cleansing	
Waste Collection	
Trinity Arts Centre	
Democratic Services	
Financial Services	
Economic Development	
Localism and Community Safety	
Markets	
Assets and Facilities Management	
Housing	
Home Choices	
Healthy District	
ICT	
Systems Development	

Introduction

Councillors have received progress and delivery reports since 2012. They have sought to give councillors information on how the council is performing through its services, project delivery and finances. This has given councillors the opportunities to question officers on performance and ensure that any rectification measures proposed to remedy poor performance are sufficient to tackle the issues identified.

This report is about the services the council is delivering in order to meet the objectives it has set itself in the corporate plan.

For clarity this report will provide information on those services that are either performing below their target level or have exceeded the performance expected of them. This will be done within certain tolerance levels therefore services which are just below their target performance will not be reported at this stage, but will be monitoring through the council's services leadership team. Generally explanations and rectifications are given where an aspect of a service is performing below the required standard.

In addition the report will contain information on services which were included in the last period's exceptions report, but have subsequently improved to the extent that they are not included in this report. This is to demonstrate to members that remedial measures which have been put in place are working.

How to use this report

RAG Performance Indicators

<u> </u>	Performance against this indicator is better than the set target
-	Performance is in line with its target
	Performance is lower than predicted

Direction of Travel

1	Performance is improving
\rightarrow	Performance is remaining static
\downarrow	Performance is declining

Executive Summary

This report covers the period from January to March 2015. It is a report "by exception" which contains information on those programmes/projects that are current during the reporting period and are at risk of not delivering their outcomes and/or may not be completed within prescribed timescales or costs.

Programme/Projects Overview

To oversee programme/project development and delivery the Council has established three 'themed' Boards – Transformation; Commercial and Growth. Each Board oversees projects relating to their particular theme and seeks assurance from project managers that where issues are identified rectifications are in place.

A fourth Board is in existence; The Entrepreneurial Board, which has an overall view of programme/project delivery with each of the three Boards report to it and highlight successes or areas/issues to be addressed. By having an overarching view, the Entrepreneurial Board plays a key role in resource allocation and prioritisation of work.

Programmes/Projects by Exception

The following programmes/projects are considered to be at risk of delivery within agreed timescales and/or costs, or the intended outcomes are at risk of being realised. Recommendations and/or rectifications have been offered by the relevant project managers and have been considered by both the respective Board and the Entrepreneurial Board.

Gainsborough South West Ward Programme: AMBER

The South West Ward is a priority area and has a number of issues associated with high levels of deprivation. A multi-agency Strategic Group is being developed to tackle the issues and the causes. A new tactical plan I sin development.

Fraud Investigation Services: AMBER

Following the creation of the Single Fraud Investigation Service (SFIS) and the subsequent transfer of Housing Benefit investigation responsibilities to the Department for Work and Pensions, many local authorities took the decision to transfer on block their investigatory staffing resource. West Lindsey District Council recognised the need to retain investigatory resource to protect the integrity of its systems and processes and to explore potential commercial opportunities. A new business case has been approved and this project will no longer be amber in future reports.

Agile 2

This project was intended to develop proposals for the next steps with regards to our approach to agile working. As this is actually a number of separate projects covering cultural issues, technology and accommodation we will be taking these projects forward individually.

Movement since the Previous Report

The projects that were amber in the last report remain amber and are therefore reported above.

Conclusion

Across the Council there is a significant volume of programme/project associated work underway. The Boards are playing a key role in ensuring that business cases are effectively scrutinised and where projects are initiated progress is maintained. Any potential issues affecting progress or the delivery of projects are assessed and remedial actions are applied.

From the report provided, Members can be assured that the current position of programme/project development is as stated and that this represents a positive position.

Update on Issues highlighted in the Third Quarter report

Complaints received

Complaints continued at a similar rate during the last quarter. A separate report on feedback will be brought to policy committee later in the year. Planning continued to represent over 30% of the total number of complaints.

Gainsborough Markets

This service has recently become the responsibility of the Projects and Growth Team who are currently exploring the options to improve this service. A separate paper was taken to Prosperous Communities Committee in May.

Local Land Charges

Performance has improved and is now below the target of ten days for returning a search. This does come at a cost and although work is ongoing to secure a IT solution for the longer term.

Development Management

Performance against the key measures continues to improve and is now above the government set targets. However complaints have continued at similar levels throughout the year whilst allowed appeals are higher than we would like. A restructure is currently being implemented and is expected improve the situation further.

Enforcement

Demand within the Enforcement Team has increased over the last quarter and has caused the length of time to resolve cases to become extended. The resource requirement in this team is currently being reviewed.

Measures to highlight for this quarter not already mentioned

Assets and Facilities Management

The level of customer satisfaction for this team is higher than the target set and should be recognised. In addition the work undertaken on reducing void periods for property for rent has taken this measure to below 5%, ahead of our target and appropriate benchmarks.

Council Tax

The council tax collection rate for 2015/16 is 98.34% which is an increase of 0.11% from the previous years' total which in monetary terms equates to an increase of $\pounds 23,000$.

The liability for council tax increased by \pounds 1.474 million for 2015/16 and the team collected just under \pounds 1.5 million more than 2014/15.

An additional 425 council tax properties were brought into the valuation list by the Valuation Officer during 2015/16 and we have just over 5,000 council taxpayers paying by 12 monthly instalments compared to 4,000 at the end of last year. We also now have just over 75% of council tax payers paying by direct debit.

National non domestic rate collection for 2015/16 also improved from 2014/15 by 0.27% to 99.44% despite an increase in liability over the year of £232,000.

Trinity Arts

Cost of delivery – early indications from the closedown process are that the Centre operated on a deficit or circa £65,000 last year which compared to £96,000 the previous year shows significant improvement. This is also below the £80,000 target set, being the cost of maintaining the building as empty.

The surplus from the artistic programme was £56,600 against a target of £36,000. This demonstrates effective programming, and the fact that we are securing shows on financially advantageous terms and keeping other costs down.

Audience figures were 17,600 against a target of 9,600 with an average occupancy of 57% across all performances.

Highlights from areas not mentioned above

Whilst this is an exception report which deals primarily with under or over performing measures, we would like to provide members with a flavour of activity currently ongoing in those areas which are on target and delivering as anticipated.

Home Choices has been actively seeking to improve on its performance indicators. Whilst the cost of homelessness has fallen to the Authority thanks to a new approach, there has been an audit of the CBL (housing register) system and associated processes. This has validated concerns raised by the service relating to Acis nominations performance, wider strategic CBL partnership and ICT functionality and stability. A significant amount of work and intervention from WLDC during the quarter has led to improved Acis nominations performance, along with tracking of nominations activity. We are pleased to advise that a home choices advisor has been nominated for a 'young future housing leaders' award and has been shortlisted to the top 30.

The HR Team have been busy supporting managers with absence management. The absence figures for the year 2015/16 are the lowest they have been at 6.16 days per FTE, there are a number of supporting reasons for this and specifically the proactive management of absence.

The staff survey was conducted in the summer of 2015 and an enthusiastic group of staff members have formed a focus group to work on proactive solutions to the themes identified in the survey. A further survey will be explored by the group in 2016.

Overview of performance

Measure Category		-		
Corporate Health measures	3	0	2	
Corporate Plan measures		-	-	
Commercial Plan measures	-	-	-	
Service measures	23	-	21	
TOTAL	26	0	23	

Section 1: Corporate Health Measures

Performance	Reporting	C			Previous Period		What is affecting	What do we need to do to improve and		
Measure	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	perf.	performance	by when?
Perspective: Cu	istomer									
Received complaints	Monthly	144	72		Cumu	lative me	easure	-	-	-
Received compliments	Monthly	168	180	*	Cumu	lative me	easure	-	-	-
Employee satisfaction	Six Monthly	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Customer satisfaction	Annual	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Perspective: Fir	nancial									
Annual net greenhouse gas emissions	Annual	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Position against the Councils budget including deficit and/or surplus	Quarterly	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Projected savings/income generation initiatives	Quarterly	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Performance Measure	Reporting	C			Previous Period		What is affecting performance	What do we need to do to improve and			
Measure	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	perf.	performance	by when?	
Monies owed to WLDC	Quarterly	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Perspective: Qu	Perspective: Quality										
Percentage of demand received through self- serve	Monthly	26%	90%	•	Ţ	24%	•	-	-	-	
Percentage of calls answered	Monthly	92%	85%	*	\rightarrow	92%	*	-	-	-	
Staff Absenteeism (days)	Monthly	0.38	0.63	*	1	0.73	•	-	-	-	
Volume of vacancies against establishment	Quarterly	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Table 1: Corporate Health

measures

Section 2: Corporate Plan Measures

Corporate Plan Theme: Open for Business

Performance	Reporting	C		Previo Perio		YTD	What is affecting	What do we need to do to improve					
Measure	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	perf.	performance	and by when?			
	Priority: To attract inward investment												
Hectares of strategic employment land allocated	Annual												
Amount of land developed out	Annual												
Count of birth of new enterprises	Annual												
Total no. of hereditaments	Annual												
Priority: To retain, s	upport and fa	cilitate th	e growth	<mark>of bus</mark>	iness i	n the Dist	rict						
Total employment in the District	Annual												
Total businesses assisted to grow	Quarterly												
Percentage increase in employment across the District	Annual												
Number of businesses supported to grow via funding initiatives	Annual												
Total jobs created/safeguarded via above schemes	Annual												

Performance	Reporting	C	Current Pe	eriod		Previous Period		YTD	What is affecting	What do we need to do to improve
Measure	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	perf.	performance	and by when?
Priority: Housing led	l economic g	rowth								
Number of new dwellings built within the District	Annual									
Percentage increase in Council Tax base	Annual									
Priority: Understand	and address	the skills	s gap in th	e Dist	rict		•			
Number of new apprenticeships secured	Annual									
Delivery of employment and skills partnership objectives	Quarterly									
Numbers of young people supported with mentoring.	Quarterly									
Number of schools offering a Company Programme through Young Enterprise.	Annual									
Reduce unemployment	Six monthly									
Youth unemployment rate	Six monthly									
Priority: Promote an	d expand the	agri-food	l sector							
No of planning applications in	Annual									

	Reporting	C	Current Pe		Previous Period		YTD	What is affecting	What do we need to do to improve	
Measure Fr	requency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	perf.	performance	and by when?
relation to agri-food activity										
New jobs in agri- food sector jobs	nnual									
Delivery of Hemswell Cliff (Food Entreprise Ar Zone; Local Development Order)	nnual									
Delivery of Hemswell Cliff Ar Masterplan	nnual									
Priority: Understand an	nd promote	e the value	e of the vi	sitor e	conom	ıy				
Increase in value of Ar the sector	nnual									
Increase in visitor Ar numbers	nnual									
Increase in employment in the Ar sector	nnual									

People First Corporate Plan Theme:

Performance	Reporting Frequency	С		Previous Period		YTD	What is affecting	What do we need to do to improve and			
Measure		Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	perf.	performance	by when?	
Priority: Ease a	Priority: Ease and convenience of access to a range of public services offered by the Council and partner organisations										

Performance Measure	Reporting Frequency		Current Pe	riod			Previous Period		What is affecting performance	What do we need to do to improve and
Weasure	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	perf.	performance	by when?
Customer satisfaction with Council and services provided	Annual									
Priority: Enhan	ce and maint	ain a safe,	natural a	nd buil	t envir	onment				
Percentage reductions in no. of ASB/ Community Safety incidents reported	Annual									
Residents satisfaction surveys	Annual									
Priority: Meet le	ocal housing	needs and	aspiratio	ns			<u> </u>			
Percentage increase in population of District	Annual									
Priority: Increa	se opportunit	ies for art	s, culture,	night-	time eo	conomy, s	port ar	nd leisur	e for residents	
Health Survey	Annual									
			2						Table 3: People Fi	irst Measures

Corporate Plan Theme: Asset Management

Performance	Reporting	C	Current Pe	riod			Previous Period		What is affecting	What do we need to do to improve and
Measure	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	perf.	performance	by when?
Priority: To dev	elop and max	kimise the	return on	and v	alue of	our asset	base			
Percentage										
increase in	Six									
yields (return	monthly									
on capital	monuny									
employed)										
Percentage										
increase in	Six									
income derived	monthly									
from assets										
Priority: Maxim	ise the benef	its of our a	assets for	our co	ommun	ities				
Tenants'										
satisfaction	Annual									
levels				<u> </u>						
Priority: Utilise	our asset ba	se to facili	tate inwar	d inve	stment				Γ	
Occupancy	Americal									
rate of Council	Annual									
properties									Table 4: Asset Mar	agomont
									Measures	lagement
									measures	

Corporate Plan Theme: Central Lincolnshire Local Plan

Performance	Reporting	C	Current Pe	riod			Previous Period		What is affecting	What do we need to do to improve and
Measure	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	perf.	performance	by when?
Priority: Local F	Plan is adopte	ed								
Local Plan is in place by 31st December 2016	Annual									
Priority: Establi developed	sh the appro	priate nun	nber of Ne	ighbou	urhood	Plans rec	quired a	across t	he District and ensure the	y are supported and
Number of requests for Neighbourhood Plans	Annual									
Number of Neighbourhood Plans approved	Annual									
Gainsborough				ties fo	r Gains	borough	to deliv	ver Loca	I Plan priorities are identif	ied in the
Number of proposed schemes relevant for Gainsborough alone.	Annual									
Percentage of schemes developed out	Annual									

Performance Measure	Reporting Frequency -	C		Previous Period		YTD perf.	What is affecting performance	What do we need to do to improve and		
Measure	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	pen.	performance	by when?
Priority: The key	y growth and	regenerat	tion priorit	ties for	r Gains	borough t	t <mark>o deliv</mark>	ver Loca	I Plan priorities are identif	ied in the
Gainsborough C	Chapter of the	e docume	nt							
Value of external funding secured for infrastructure development	Annual									

 Table 5: Central Lincolnshire Local Plan

Measures

Corporate Plan Theme: Partnerships/Devolution

Performance Measure	Reporting Frequency	C		_	Previous Period		What is affecting performance	What do we need to do to improve and				
incasule	riequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	perf.	performance	by when?		
Priority: To wor	k in partners	hip to exp	lore oppo	rtuniti	es for j	oined-up s	service	delivery	/			
Number of ley												
partnerships	Annual											
entered into												
Priority: To wor	ority: To work with national and regional organisations t					deliver se	rvices	locally				
Number of												
shared service												
delivery	Annual											
initiatives												
implemented												
Priority: To buil	Priority: To build successful commercial partnerships and Joint Ventures											

Performance	Reporting	C			Previous Period		What is affecting	What do we need to do to improve and		
Measure	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	perf.	performance	by when?
Number of commercial partnerships formed	Annual									
Income generated from commercial activity	Annual									
Priority: To wor	rk with partne	ers to deliv	ver local se	ervice	s at as	local a lev	/el as p	ossible		
Number of community based service delivery mechanism in place.	Annual									
Annual number of volunteering hours undertaken across the District	Annual									
Monetary value of volunteering hours	Annual								Table 6: Partnersh	

Table 6: Partnerships/Devolution Measures

Corporate Plan Theme: Excellent Value for Money Services

Performance Measure	Reporting	С	urrent Pe	riod		Previo Perio		YTD		What do we need to do to improve
weasure	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	perf.	performance	and by when?
Priority: Explore	alternative de	livery mo	dels which	n ensu	re the o	effective u	ise of r	esource	S	
Tracking of spend against annual budget	Six monthly									
Priority: Deliver a	customer fir	st culture	across the	e Cour	ncil					
Overall satisfaction with the service delivered by the Council	Annual									
ICS staff assessment	Annual									
Priority: Develop	a workforce	of high ski	lled, motiv	vated s	staff to	deliver in	an en	treprene	urial manner	
Number and type of Continuous Professional Development and Training events held	Annual									
Percentage reduction in sickness/absence levels	Six monthly									
Retention of Investors in People accreditation Priority: To be a l	Annual	ng Counc	il at a cost	afforc	lable to	o the resid	lents o	f the Dis	strict	

Performance Reporting Measure Frequency		C	Current Pe		Previous Period		YTD perf.	What is affecting	What do we need to do to improve	
Measure	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	pen.	performance	and by when?
Percentage of 'amber' or 'green' Corporate Health	Six monthly									
measures	-									

 Table 7: Excellent Value for Money Services

measures

Section 3: Commercial Plan measures

Performance Measure	Reporting	C	urrent Pe	eriod			Previous Period		What is affecting performance	What do we need to do to improve
	Frequency	Actual	Target			Actual	Perf.	Perf. perf. performanc		and by when?
Theme: Generating	greater inco	me from tl	ne counci	l's ser	vices					
Total amount of income generated										
Income as a proportion of total expenditure										
Total net revenue income										
Theme: Securing g	reater externa	al funding								
Total external funding secured for the Council										
Total external funding secured for communities/district										
Theme: Increasing	capital and re	evenue ret	urns to th	ne cou	ncil thr	ough del	vering	housing	and economic growth	
Change in capital value of assets										
Rate of return on rental income										
Change in Council Tax base										

Performance Measure	Reporting	C			Previous Period		What is affecting performance	What do we need to do to improve		
INICASULE	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	perf.	performance	and by when?
Change in net										
business rates										
payable										
Theme: Enhancing	the council's	commerc	cial culture	e and o	capabi	lity				
Proportion of staff										
that understand										
corporate										
objectives										
Proportion of										
members that										
understand										
corporate										
objectives										

Table 8: Commercial Planmeasures

Section 4: Project and Programme Delivery

Project Name	Description	RAG	Reason
Commercial Bo	bard		
CP1 – 002	Utilise WLDC Corporate Fraud Investigation Officer both internally and offer services externally to both cover the cost to WLDC and achieve additional income through day rate work with other LA's and private companies.	•	Paper to CB in April to revisit original proposal to run independent service. Intelligent targeted marketing of the Fraud Officer is needed.
Growth Board			
Gainsborough SW Ward	The South West Ward is a priority area and has a number of issues associated with high levels of deprivation. A multi-agency Strategic Group is being developed to tackle the issues and the causes	•	Need for approval and adoption of new Tactical plans by SWW Partnership before presenting to Growth Board
Transformation	n Board		
Agile 2	The first phase of the Agile Working project enabled the majority of WLDC officers to be based on the 3rd floor of the Guildhall. The second phase (this project) will focus on categorising officers into work styles and enabling/encouraging officers to work away from the Guildhall.	•	Project to be closed to re-examined work streams

Table 9: Project and programmedelivery

Section 5: Service Exceptions

Cluster: Customer First

Customer Satisfaction

Performance	Reporting Frequency -	C		Previous Period		YTD	What is affecting performance	What do we need to do to improve and		
Measure Frequency		Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	perf.	periormance	by when?
Perspective: Fir	nancial									
Customer contacts received through phone and face to face	Monthly	23%	10%	•	Ļ	33%	•	-	This was an ambitious target and will be reviewed for the new year.	-

Table 10: Customer Satisfactionmeasure exceptions

Benefits

The processing of Housing Benefit and Council Tax Support has remained pretty constant despite changes to staffing and the uncertainty of Housing Benefit regulations and entitlement. The number of claims taking in excess of 30 calendar days has fluctuated but has only been at extraordinary levels over the Christmas period. The recovery of overpaid Housing Benefit remains good at 64% at year end. The average time taken to process and New Claim or a change to a claim is 5.3 days compared to 6.5 days for 2014/15 which is good given the Welfare Reform agenda affecting Housing Benefit and staffing changes within the team.

Performance Measure	Reporting Frequency	C	Current Pe	riod		Previous Period		YTD perf.	What is affecting	What do we need to do to improve and
weasure	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	pen.	performance	by when?
Perspective: Q	uality									
Average processing times	Monthly	6 days	2.5 days	*	Ļ	4.3 days	*	-	Due to volume of changes processed urgently for the New Year calculations, the monthly processing times have improved dramatically	Seasonal
Overpayments created – overpayments collected	Quarterly	63.98%	50%	•	Ļ	65.5%	•	-	-	-

Table 11: Benefits measureexceptions

Council Tax

The council tax collection rate for 2015/16 is 98.34% which is an increase of 0.11% from the previous years' total which in monetary terms equates to an increase of £23,000. The liability for council tax increased by £1.474 million for 2015/16 and the team collected just under £1.5 million more than 2014/15.

An additional 425 council tax properties were brought into the valuation list by the Valuation Officer during 2015/16 and we have just over 5,000 council taxpayers paying by 12 monthly instalments compared to 4,000 at the end of last year. We also now have

just over 75% of council tax payers paying by direct debit. National non domestic rate collection for 2015/16 also improved from 2014/15 by 0.27% to 99.44% despite an increase in liability over the year of £232,000.

Performance Measure	Reporting Frequency	C	Current Per	iod			Previous Period		What is affecting performance	What do we need to do to improve
Weasure	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	perf.	performance	and by when?
Perspective: Fi	nancial									
Cost of delivering the Council Tax service	Monthly	£221,724	£243,108	*	Ţ	£259,325	•	-	-	-
Perspective: Qua	ality									
Council Tax in year collection rate	Monthly	98.34%	98%	*	Cum	iulative mea	asure	*	Increase on last year's collection rate equating to a total of £23,000.	
NNDR in year collection rate	Monthly	99.44%	99%	*	Cumulative measure			*	Increase on last year's collection by 0.27%.	

Table 12: Council Tax measureexceptions

Building Control

The Building Control service has embarked on a new commercial business plan which looks at providing additional commercial services whilst maintaining and building on the current market share. The figures for the last three months show that the team has maintained a market share at around 70% and that whilst income has fluctuated this is expected for the last 3 months of the financial year due to the impact of weather on building projects. A number of staff are currently undertaking training to support the Council's commercial aspiration for this coming year and it is anticipated that work associated with this will start to come through in 2016/17.

Performance Measure	Reporting	С		Previous Period		YTD	What is affecting	What do we need to do to improve and		
Measure	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	perf.	performance	by when?
Perspective: Fi	Perspective: Financial									

Performance	Reporting Frequency	C		Previous Period		YTD	What is affecting	What do we need to do to improve and		
Measure	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	perf.	performance	by when?
Cost of delivering the Building Control service	Monthly	(£8,715)	£4,977	*	Ţ	£4,270		-	-	-
Cost of delivering the Building Control service per head of population	Monthly	(£0.10)	£0.06	*	Ť	£0.05	•	-	-	-

Table 13: Building Controlmeasure exceptions

Local Land Charges

The Local Land Charges service has stabilised over the past 6months, moving from a position where search times were up to 45 days to a position where they are holding around 6 to 8 days. This is mainly down to the service being appropriately resourced with knowledgeable and willing staff. The IT procurement for LLC is in its final stages and will be taken to Transformation Board and Entrepreneurial Board for approval in the coming weeks. It is generally accepted that any changes and improvements to the services will only be delivered once the IT system has been implemented and the process streamlined.

Performance Measure	Reporting Frequency	С	urrent Peri	od		Previo Perio		YTD perf.	What is affecting performance	What do we need to do to improve
weasure	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	pen.	performance	and by when?
Perspective: Fi	nancial									
Cost of delivering the	Monthly	(£102,186)	(£22,896)	*	Cum	ulative me	asure	-	-	-

Performance Measure	Reporting Frequency -	С	urrent Peri	od			Previous Period		What is affecting performance	What do we need to do to improve
WedSure	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	perf.	periormance	and by when?
local land										
charge service										
Cost of delivering the Local Land Charge service per head of population	Monthly	£0.00	(£0.02)	•	ſ	£0.03	•		 The service is still very resource heavy due to the paper based process. Insufficient IT systems 	Implemented on new ICT system in conjunction with Development Management and Building Control.
Income lost to private search companies	Monthly	£116,366	£104,496	•	Cum	ulative me	asure	-	-	-
Perspective: Q	uality						-	-		
Time taken to process a search	Monthly	6 days	10 days	*	1	7 days	*	-	-	-

Table 14: Local Land Chargesmeasure exceptions

Development Management

Performance Measure	Reporting Frequency	C	urrent Pe		Previous Period		YTD	What is affecting	What do we need to do to improve and	
weasure	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	perf.	performance	by when?
Perspective: Qu	uality									

Performance	Reporting	C	Current Pe	riod			Previous Period perf.		What is affecting	What do we need to do to improve and
Measure	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	pert.	performance	by when?
Percentage of appeals that are allowed	Monthly	36%	20%	•	-	Cumula measu		-	8 decisions have been appealed of which 22 have been determined and 8 allowed. Of the 22 determined, 5 were committee overturns of which 4 were allowed.	-
Invalidity Rate	Monthly	54%	20%	•	Ļ	53%	•	-	Data has now been captured to understand the reasons for invalids.	Work with 'frequent' offenders to reduce invalid rate, increase awareness through supporting material
Majors determined within government guidelines	Quarterly	75%	50%	*	¢	82%	*	-	Performance is exceeding government targets	-
Others determined within government guidelines	Monthly	97%	80%	*	¢	88%	*	-	Performance is exceeding government targets	-

Table 15: DevelopmentManagement measure exceptions

Enforcement

Performance	Reporting	C	Current Pe	riod		Previo Perio		YTD	What is affecting performance	What do we need to do to improve and
Measure	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	perf.	performance	by when?
Perspective: Pr	ocess									
Housing enforcement requests received	Monthly	146	120	•	Cumulative measure			-	The service has experienced consistent and high demand since January 16	Additional resources have been requested via CLT, but not agreed to date
Planning enforcement requests received	Monthly	248	264	•	Cumulative measure			-	The service has experienced consistently high levels of demand during 15/16	Additional resources have been requested via CLT.
Perspective: Q	Jality									
Time taken to resolve a housing enforcement request (days)	Monthly	88	60	•	\rightarrow	15	*	-	The demand on the service has increased in 15/16	Additional resources have been requested via CLT
Time taken to resolve a planning enforcement request (days)	Monthly	191	90	•	↓	165	•	-	The service has seen an increased demand and has less resources available to deal with this.	Request for additional resources has gone to CLT.

Table 16: Enforcement measureexceptions

Environmental Protection

We haven't achieved the number of targeted food inspections this year, this is down to a number of premises that needed more time spent on them due to infestations and/or general condition of some of the premises that have been inspected.

Though the number of service requests for Environmental Protection has been similar to last year the team have had a challenging 7 months due to picking up work with regard to Anti-social behaviour.

Performance	Reporting		Current Pe		Previous Period		YTD perf.	What is affecting performance	What do we need to do to improve and	
Measure	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	pen.	performance	by when?
Perspective: Q	uality									
Nuisance complaints completed within timescales	Monthly	100%	95%	*	\rightarrow	100%	*	-	-	-
	Table 17: Environmental									

Protection measure exceptions

Food Safety

Performance Measure	Reporting Frequency	(Current Pe	riod		Previous Period		YTD perf.	What is affecting performance	What do we need to do to improve and			
Measure	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	pen.	periormance	by when?			
Perspective: Quality													
Customer	Six	100%	80%	4	*	50%		_					
Satisfaction	monthly	100 /6	80%			50%		-	-	-			
								Table 18: Food Sa exceptions	fety measure				

Licensing

Income from taxis has seen a downturn this year, due in part to the effect of policies put in place in 2013. There have been additional changes to the taxi policy again this year and recently a more robust convictions policy has been approved by the members of the licensing & regulatory committee, along with the requirement for drivers to take part in an e-learning safeguarding module also.

Performance	Reporting		urrent Per	iod		Previo Perio		YTD	What is affecting	What do we need to do to improve and
Measure	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	perf.	performance	by when?
Perspective: P	rocess									
Customer Satisfaction	Six monthly	0%	96.5%	•	Ļ	100%	*	-	Only one "customer" returned the questionnaire and this was a negative unjustified response, hence the zero score.	
Street Clear	nsing								Table 19: Licensing exceptions	g measure

Street Cleansing

Performance Report Measure Freque	Reporting	C	urrent Per	iod		Previous Period		YTD	What is affecting	What do we need to do to improve and
Measure	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	perf.	performance	by when?
Perspective: C	ustomer									
Complaints	Monthly	2	12	*	Cum	ulative mea	asure	-	Performance ahead of target mainly due to well-motivated, well trained workforce. Absence levels low so few issues with replacement staff who possess less knowledge.	-
Compliments	Monthly	16	12	*	Cum	ulative mea	asure	-	Performance on track	-

Table 20: Street Cleansing measure exceptions

Waste Collection

Another excellent year for Operational Services with further recognition on the national stage through the APSE benchmarking process. The targets set in the Progress and Delivery process have been achieved. The only area for concern is the recycling rate, data from LCC remains outstanding for March but we expect the rate to drop to around 52% this year which is a reduction of around 2%. This is in line with national trends, however local factors have also contributed such as a poor growing year for garden waste and the introduction of a new sampling procedure at our Materials Recycling Facility which has resulted in increased contamination. Satisfaction with waste services remains at over 90% and there has been an increase in voluntary litter-picks mainly though campaigns such as Clean for the Queen. There have been a couple of real success stories, one has been the reduction in missed bins for which Supervisors have worked hard to achieve a 16% reduction during the last two years, another is the first year of the roll out of a full Commercial Waste service which has achieved the targets set out in the business plan and continues to flourish. All this has been achieved without a rise in cost of service.

No performance exceptions reported in period

Trinity Arts Centre

Cost of delivery – early indications from finance are that the Centre operated on a deficit or circa £65,000 last year which compared to £96,000 the previous year shows significant improvement. This is also below the £80,000 target set, being the cost of maintaining the building as empty.

The surplus from the artistic programme was £56,600 against a target of £36,000. This demonstrates effective programming, and the fact that we are securing shows on financially advantageous terms and keeping other costs down. Audience figures were 17,600 against a target of 9,600 with an average occupancy of 57% across all performances.

Performance Measure	Reporting	С	urrent Per	iod		Previo Perio		YTD	What is affecting performance	What do we need to do to impro
INIEdSULE	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	perf.	performance	ve and by when?
Perspective: Fi	nancial									
Cost of delivering the Trinity Arts Centre	Monthly	£85,556	£80,004	•	Cumi	ulative mea	asure	-	Ahead of tolerance levels set but significantly under budget.	Review of measure tolerances

Performance Measure	Reporting	С	urrent Per	iod		Previo Perio		YTD perf.	What is affecting	What do we need to do to impro
Measure	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	pen.	performance	ve and by when?
Cost of Trinity Arts Centre per user	Monthly	£4.75	£7.07	*	Ť	£7.39	•	-	Good audience numbers providing value for money	-
Received surplus	Monthly	£56,686	£36,000	*	Cum	ulative me	asure	-	Received surplus well ahead of target – shows being booked on advantageous terms.	-
Perspective: Pr	ocess									
Audience Figures	Monthly	17,619	9,600	*	Cum	ulative me	asure	-	Good attendance at performances.	-
Perspective: Q	uality									
Event Occupancy	Monthly	64%	50%	*	Ļ	68%	*	-	Good audience numbers and attractive programme	-

Table 21: Trinity Arts Centremeasure exceptions

Cluster: Democratic and Business Support

Democratic Services

Robust PA service in place but one vacancy will add pressure to this area. Positive feedback for PA and Support from CExec Directors and Strategic Leads. Standards complaints continue to be received from Parish Councils regarding governance issues. Civic re-design focusing on community and business value whilst continuing to deliver national expectations. Savings being achieved but the redesign has not been well received by some Members.

Democracy Working Group requested a "debating forum" layout for full Council Meetings, various layouts trialled but Council voted to retain the original layout. FOI performance mainly running at 100% responses within timeline due to robust chasing process. Throughout the year 3 late responses due to staff sickness / absence and one review request. Focus currently on completion of Conferencing System and issues with the installation and integration with webcasting – penalty clause imposed. Training underway

for the Committee Admin System configuration and implementation (which is a very large project for the team considering the Committee timetable and demand for extra meetings. Measures reviewed and will include Civic Event attendance within the West Lindsey district to monitor the effectiveness of the redesigned service.

Performance Measure	Reporting		Current Pe	riod		Previo Perio		YTD	What is affecting performance	What do we need to do to improve and
Measure	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	perf.	performance	by when?
Perspective: Fi	nancial									
Total cost of member and support services per member	Monthly	£513	£1,528	*	Ţ	£1,976	•	-	Employee insurance profiling issue	Profiling issue resolved
									Table 22: Democr	atic Services

Table 22: Democratic Services measure exceptions

Financial Services

Financial Services have had a successful quarter's performance, with High Assurance on both the Budget Monitoring and Budget Preparation Audits, has implemented the Intelligent Scanning/matching system for creditors invoices, and is due to go live with a new Bank Reconciliation system in May, the investment in these systems has provided ongoing savings for the Council, in addition to providing efficiencies within these processes for the whole of the organisation.

The recruitment to the new Finance Structure is almost complete, and ensures the team have a wide range of skills to support both Services and the Council in achieving a sustainable future, through effective use of resources, commercialism and transformation.

No performance exceptions

Cluster: Economic Development and Neighbourhoods

Economic Development

Performance Measure	Reporting	(Current Pe	riod		Previous Period		YTD perf.	What is affecting performance	What do we need to do to improve and
INICASULE	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	pen.	performance	by when?
Perspective: Fi	inancial									
Cost of providing the Economic Development service per head of population	Monthly	£0.39	£0.34	•	\rightarrow	£0.39	•	-	Costs for February and March have increased following return of TM.	-
Perspective: P	rocess									
Number of business assisted		248	200	*	Cum	ulative me	asure	-	-	-

Table 23: Economic Developmentmeasure exceptions

Localism and Community Safety

Performance	Reporting	-	Current Per	riod		Previo Perio		YTD	What is affecting	What do we need to do to improve and
Measure	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	perf.	performance	by when?
Perspective: P	rocess									
Investigated community safety reports	Monthly	51	1,368	*	Cum	ulative me	asure	-	Performance under projected target	Unsure of the reasons why a target is assigned to this measure
									Table 24: Localism Community Safety	

exceptions

Markets

Performance Measure	Reporting Frequency	С	urrent Per	iod		Previo Perio		YTD perf.	What is affecting performance	What do we need to do to improve and
Measure	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	pen.	performance	by when?
Perspective: Cu	ustomer									
Satisfaction levels of Gainsborough market traders	Annual	77%	66%	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Perspective: Pr	ocess									
The number of stalls on a Tuesday	Monthly	40	66	•	Ļ	55	•	-	Markets has been managed by the Localism Team during 2015/16 and was passed to Projects and Growth in March. There	In view of this, a review is being undertaken to look at options for outsourcing the market to be

Performance Measure	Reporting Frequency	С	urrent Per	iod		Previo Perio		YTD perf.	What is affecting performance	What do we need to do to improve and
Inteasure	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	pen.	performance	by when?
									has been little time to address the poor performance in 2015/16	presented to PC in September. Note that the operation is now with Operational Services
The number of stalls on a Saturday	Monthly	21	30	•	Ļ	20	•	-	Markets has been managed by the Localism Team during 2015/16 and was passed to Projects and Growth in March. There has been little time to address the poor performance in 2015/16	In view of this, a review is being undertaken to look at options for outsourcing the market to be presented to PC in September. Note that the operation is now with Operational Services

Table 25: Markets measureexceptions

Cluster: Housing and Regeneration

Assets and Facilities Management

Performance Measure	Reporting	C	Current Peri	iod		Previous Period		YTD	What is affecting	What do we need to do to improve and
Measure	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	perf.	performance	by when?
Perspective: Cu	istomer									
Internal tenants satisfaction	Annual	83.5%	66%	*	1	66%	-	-	-	-
Perspective: Find	nancial									
Maintenance Fund (planned/ unplanned)	Monthly	82%/18%	70%/30%	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Perspective: Qu	uality									
Void Rate	Monthly	5%	10%		\uparrow	7%	*	-	-	-
		•	•	•					Table 26: Assets n	neasure

Table 26: Assets measure exceptions

Housing

The demand on the enforcement based services continues to increase and steps are being taken to manage these within the policy priorities. An additional request for resources has gone to CLT, but to date, no decision has been made on this. Targets for the coming year have been amended to reflect this. The number of empty properties remains at an acceptable level and the DFG service continues to positively impact residents.

Performance Measure	Reporting Frequency	_	urrent Perio	bd			Previous Period		What is affecting performance	What do we need to do to improve
Measure	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	perf.	performance	and by when?
Perspective: Fi	nancial									
The average spend per DFG	Monthly	£4,624	£3,500	•	Ļ	£4,628		-	The number of large complex grants has increased in year.	No action needed
Total spend on completed	Monthly	£1,620,828	£336,996	•	Cum	ulative me	asure	-	Remaining budget allocated to jobs for completion.	No action needed

Performance	Reporting	С	urrent Peri	od		Previous Period		YTD perf.	What is affecting	What do we need to do to improve
Measure	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	pert.	performance	and by when?
disabled facilities grants										
Perspective: P	rocess									
Number of affordable homes delivered	Quarterly	29	48	•	Cum	ulative me	asure	-	The number of sites on which affordable housing has been delivered has decreased. This is mainly due to changes in government grant levels and a lack of funding for the delivery of affordable housing.	The Council is engaging with the HC in regards to future delivery. However it is likely that delivery of affordable homes will decrease generally moving forward
Perspective: Q	uality	•							·	
Average days from DFG approval to completion	Monthly	68	60	•	↓	59	•	-	The measure was 8 days above target for this month due to complex cases.	Nothing. The overall timescale is still within the target for the completion of works

Table 27: Housing measure exceptions

Home Choices

A review of progress and delivery measures for this service area has taken place to ensure that members receive more meaningful performance information. A revised suite of internal performance monitoring measures has also been developed.

An audit of the CBL (housing register) system and associated processes has taken place. This has validated concerns raised by the service relating to Acis nominations performance, wider strategic CBL partnership and ICT functionality and stability. A

significant amount of work and intervention from WLDC during the quarter has led to improved Acis nominations performance, along with tracking of nominations activity. The Home Choices team has retrained Acis staff and continues to provide support to the Acis staff team. A home choices advisor has been nominated for a 'young future housing leaders' award and has been shortlisted to the top 30. A further shortlisting exercise (top 20) takes place Friday 15th April 2016 and we are hopeful that Charlotte will continue to be considered for this award

WLDC continues to lead countywide homelessness strategy work. A conference was hosted by WLDC on 29th March 2016, attended by over 50 people. A video to showcase the work achieved during the current strategy has been commissioned and can be viewed here: https://youtu.be/dEcq4Ke_5H4. A new countywide homelessness strategy is currently in development for the period 2016 – 2020. A presentation was delivered to Full Council on 11th April 2016.

We have been successful in our proposal to LCC to deliver 12 further domestic abuse training sessions on behalf of LCC during the financial year. These will be delivered by the domestic abuse coordinator within the home choices team. We will receive £350 per training session, an income of £4200.

We are now using the Cross Street building as our temporary accommodation provision. This has significantly reduced the use of B&B accommodation for homeless households and reduced spend in this area.

Performance Measure	Reporting	Current Period				Previous Period		YTD perf.	What is affecting performance	What do we need to do to improve and		
Measure	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	pen.	performance	by when?		
Perspective: Fir	Perspective: Financial											
Cost of temporary accommodation	Monthly	£24,058	£47,604	•	Ļ	£11,871	•	-	This is significantly reduced due to a change in TA provision during the period.	New model of delivering temporary accommodation was delivered in Feb.		
Perspective: Pre	ocess											
Number of applicants rehoused per year from the housing register	Monthly	277	444	•	Cumulative measure		asure	-	Dependent on number of void properties. Improvement plan with Acis has ensured that allocations are policy compliant.	Continue to work closely with Acis.		

Table 28: Home Choices measureexceptions

Healthy District

100% of personal improvement plans are being completed; 76% of the health trainer participants were from our most deprived areas. Whilst this is excellent performance the Health Trainers are currently going through a redundancy process and this service will cease on the 31st May 2016.

Customer satisfaction has remained high with scores consistently above 95%; Contractor has been successful in driving new participants to the leisure facilities by attractive programming and effective marketing. Target exceeded by 3091 or 11%. Cost per user has been consistently below target at around 80p against a target of £1.20. West Lindsey Leisure Centre achieved Quest Plus in February this year with all areas measured (eg. Programming, health and safety, staff management etc) being scored as Good or Very Good. Usage of the facilities remains high but the only concern is that our contractors are tasked with a 2% increase in usage year on year. After the spike in membership in 2013/14 after the refurbishment this has been difficult to achieve.

Performance Measure	Reporting Frequency	C		Previous Period		YTD perf.	What is affecting performance	What do we need to do to improve and					
INICASULE	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	pen.	performance	by when?			
Perspective: Cu	Perspective: Customer												
Customer Satisfaction of leisure facilities and activities		96%	80%	*	\rightarrow	97%	*	-	Customer satisfaction remains high across all three facilities.	-			
Perspective: Fi	Perspective: Financial												
Cost of Leisure Management fee per service user		£0.74	£1.20	*	↓	£0.71	*	-	Good usage of the centres providing good value of money	-			
Perspective: Process													
Cost of Leisure Management		£0.74	£1.20	*	↓	£0.71	*	-	Performance well ahead of target. New users being attracted to	-			

Performance Measure	Reporting	Current Period				Previous Period		YTD	What is affecting	What do we need to do to improve and
INICASULE	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	perf.	performance	by when?
fee per service user									facilities by marketing activity and high quality provision.	
Perspective: Qu	uality									
Percentage of Client Support programmes that are completed		100%	70%	*	Î	91%	*	-	Health Trainer team currently in process of redundancy and getting all records up to date.	-

Table 29: Healthy Districtmeasure exceptions

Cluster: Organisational Transformation

ICT

Performance Measure	Reporting	С		Previous Period		YTD	What is affecting	What do we need to do to improve and				
Weasure	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	perf.	performance	by when?		
Perspective: Pr	Perspective: Process											
Incident and Problem Management	Quarterly	91.8%	85%	*	↓	100.3%	*	-	-	-		
Change Management	Monthly	122%	50%	*	↑	103.3%	*	-	-	-		
Perspective: Quality												
Service and System availability	Monthly	100%	98%	*	\rightarrow	100%	*	-	-	-		

Performance Measure	Reporting Frequency	Current Period				Previous Period		YTD	What is affecting	What do we need to do to improve and
		Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	perf.	performance	by when?
Service and System availability: Secure Network	Monthly	100%	98%	*	\rightarrow	100%	*	-	-	-

 Table 30: ICT measure exceptions

Systems Development

Performance Measure	Reporting	С		Previous Period		YTD	What is affecting	What do we need to do to improve and			
	Frequency	Actual	Target	Perf	DoT	Actual	Perf.	perf.	performance	by when?	
Perspective: Process											
LLPG Standard	Monthly	Gold (3 above ANS)	Achieve National Standard	*	Ţ	Silver (2 above ANS)	*	-	Achieve 3 levels above target	Nothing, this is the best we can be. Just need to maintain this standard ideally.	
Perspective: Quality											
Website Availability	Monthly	100%	98%	*	\rightarrow	100%	*	-	Excellent proactive monitoring of servers	Ensure we keep the same standard	

Table 31: Systems Developmentmeasure exceptions